



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Application Number	Filing Date	First Named Applicant	Attorney Docket Number
09/380,638	09/07/99	Imanishi	Imanishi=2

Examiner
L. E. Crane

Art Unit	Paper No.
1623	5

DATE MAILED: n/a

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel)

(1) Ms. Anne M. Kornbau (3)
(2) Examiner L. E. Crane (4)

Date of Interview: 02/01/01

Type Telephonic Personal (copy given to) applicant applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: See attachment.

Agreement was reached with respect to some of all of the claims in question. was not reached

Claim(s) discussed: See page 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: See page 2.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comment:
See page 2.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would be allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 713.04) If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, the completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

PTOL-413 (amended 4/4/97)

Attachment to P.N. 5

09/380,638 - PTO-892

COPY FOR File

Applicant Continued on next page(s) ->

Art Unit 1623

INTERVIEW SUMMARY(cont.)

Claims discussed: All claims remaining of record, and claims 1-5 specifically.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comment: Applicant sought guidance concerning 112, 2nd paragraph rejections of record. Examiner suggested that terms in the claims could remain if they were properly supported by definitions in the disclosure. Applicant was further advised that supplemental amendments could be submitted by FAX. No further discussion of prior art issues could be entertained because the priority document submitted by delivery had not yet reached the case.